

FORM PTO-1449		US Dept. of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. ATM-260 CIP-DIV	SERIAL NO. TBA
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (use several sheets if necessary)		APPLICANT Baum et al.		
		FILING DATE Herewith	GROUP 1621	

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

EXAMINER INITIAL		PATENT NUMBER	ISSUE DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE
SS	AA	5,840,897	11-24-1998	Kirlin et al	546	2	
	AB	5,763,633	6-9-1998	Vaartstra	556	136	
	AC	5,096,737	3-17-92	Baum et al	427	38	
	AD	5,403,620	4-4-95	Kaesz et al	427	252	
SY	AE	5,130,172	7-14-92	Hicks et al	427	252	

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

		DOCUMENT NUMBER	PUBLICATION DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLAS S	TRANSLATION YES	NO

OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Journal-Date, Page Number, Etc.)

SS	AF	Leipoldt, et al., "Kinetics of the Substitution Reactions of β -Diketonato-1,5-cyclo octadieneiridium(I) Complexes with Derivatives of 1, 10-Phenanthroline and 2,2'-Dipyridyl," Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 418, (1991), pgs. 241-247.
	AG	Basson, et al., "Bromide Catalysts in the Oxidative Addition of Iodomethane to Iridium(I) Complexes," Inorganica Chimica Acta, 173 (1990), pgs., 155-158
	AH	JP 08-260148 A. (Oct 8, 1996), col. 2, lines 10-20, col. 6, lines 30-50, Figure 7 (Abstract in English)
	AI	Brouwers et al., "Photochemistry of acetylacetanato-, trifluoroacetylacetanato-, and hexafluoroacetyl-acetanato-dicarbonylrhodium and iridium complexes in frozen gas mixtures at 12K," J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1982), (9), 1777-82
	AJ	Hitchcock et al., Fluorophosphine Complexes of Rhodium(I) and Iridium(I), J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. (July, 1985), pgs. 1295-1301, especially page 1297
SY	AK	Gerfin et al., "Growth of Iridium Films by Metal Organic Chemical Vapor Deposition, Thin Solid Films, (April 1994), Pgs. 352-355

EXAMINER Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP § 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to the applicant.

¹⁰ In this case, the *admissibility* of the communication to the applicant was not considered. Because steps 1 and 2 of this form, with next communication to the applicant